be formed in the Mysore Council also for Civil and Criminal cases.

Mr. Annial Pandit said that a Judicial Committee might be appointed at least in connection with murder cases, or that the jury system might be introduced.

Reply of Government.—In knowledge of law, the members of the Council cannot be superior to the Judges of the Chief Court. At present the Chief Court is a body independent of Government. The placing of the Council over the Chief Court will be prejudicial to the independence of that Court. And then again, by having so many Courts one above the other there will be no finality in judicial matters.

As regards murder cases, memorials submitted to His Highness by condemned prisoners receive due consideration.

SUBJECT No. 27.

Civil Court process servers to obtain the patel's signature for every summons served.

Mr. Lakshminaranappa, Heggaddevankote Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Batta amins and process servers from Civil Courts are very indifferent in the matter of serving processes. Persons favored by them will have their summonses served sooner. In other cases they are needlessly delayed with the result that the parties are put to much inconvenience and expense. It is therefore prayed that a ruling be made that every summons served should bear the attestation either of the shanbhog or of the village patel.

Reply of Government.—The suggestion will be referred to the Chief Court for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 28.

Estimate of properties attached by Civil Courts in satisfaction of decrees and confirmation of sales.

Messrs. Padmanna, Linge Gowda and S. R. Balakrishna Rao.

Summary of discussion.—In several instances sales held in the execution of a decree of a Civil Court are not confirmed in spite of the due publication of the *istihar* and non-existence of objections to their confirmation. Besides, there is a restriction that a higher bid should be offered than the estimated amount of property, although this estimate exceeds the actual value of the same; this restriction should be removed and the property knocked down to the highest bidder irrespective of the value put upon it.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao spoke against any change being made in the existing practice.

Reply of Government.—No change is necessary.

SUBJECT No. 29.

Copies of decrees to be granted in Kanarese in certain Civil Courts.

Mr. V. Raghavachar, Advocate, Nanjangud Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that copies of decrees of the Civil Courts, *viz.*, the Court of the Sub-Judge, District Judge and Chief Court be ordered to be granted in the vernacular language to parties who apply for them.

Reply of Government.—The Chief Court will be requested to arrange for giving Kanarese translations of decrees free of charge in all Courts.